

# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/446,629	03/23/2000	RAZI VAGO	229752001000	2656
759	90 09/09/2004		EXAMINER	
MORRISON & FOERSTER			PHAN, HIEU	
	LVANIA AVENUE NW N, DC 20006-1888		ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER	
	.,		3738	

DATE MAILED: 09/09/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			$\mathcal{M}$
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	09/446,629	VAGO, RAZI	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Hieu Phan	3738	
The MAILING DATE of this communication a Period for Reply	ppears on the cover sheet	with the correspondence addres	ss
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REF	PLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3	MONTH(S) FROM	
THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a relif NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perions after the reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by state Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the material patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	N. 1.136(a). In no event, however, may eply within the statutory minimum of t od will apply and will expire SIX (6) M ute, cause the application to become	a reply be timely filed hirty (30) days will be considered timely. ONTHS from the mailing date of this commu ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	unication.
Status			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on	<del>.</del>		
•	his action is non-final.		
3) Since this application is in condition for allow	vance except for formal ma	atters, prosecution as to the me	erits is
closed in accordance with the practice unde	r <i>Ex par</i> te Quayle, 1935 C	.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.	
Disposition of Claims			
4) Claim(s) 4-13 is/are pending in the application	on.		
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withd	rawn from consideration.		
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.			
6) Claim(s) <u>4-11</u> is/are rejected.			
7) Claim(s) <u>12,13</u> is/are objected to.			
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	d/or election requirement.		
Application Papers	·		
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Exami	iner.		
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ a	ccepted or b) objected t	o by the Examiner.	
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	= : :		
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corr			
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the	Examiner. Note the attack	led Office Action of form PTO-	152.
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
<ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for forei</li> <li>a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority docume</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority docume</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority</li> </ul>	ents have been received. ents have been received in riority documents have be	Application No	ge
application from the International Bure	•	at received	
* See the attached detailed Office action for a l	ist of the certified copies n	ot received.	
Attachment(s)	_		
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)   Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)		w Summary (PTO-413) lo(s)/Mail Date	
<ul> <li>Notice of Draisperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-946)</li> <li>Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/0 Paper No(s)/Mail Date</li> </ul>		of Informal Patent Application (PTO-15	2)
.L = : : : (-): : : = =,	, 🗀		•

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.
- 2. Claims 4-7, 11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as anticipated by White et al. (U.S. Patent 6,376,573) or, in the alternative, under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as obvious over AIMS (Australian Institute of Marine Science).

White et al. disclose a coralline hydroxyapatite material used as a bone substitute material in oral, periodontal and craniofacial surgery, and orthopedic applications. The coralline material can be obtained from coral such as Acropora (column 3 lines 64-67, column 4 lines 1-5, 24-28 and 67 and column 5 lines 1-4). White et al. does not explicitly disclose the use of the coral specie Acropora grandis in his patent but it is inherent that the specie was use because White et al. disclose the use of the coral genus Acropora, which is the genus of the Acropora grandis.

However in the alternative, White et al. does not disclose the coralline material is of the specie Acropora grandis.

AIMS disclose one property of Acropora grandis is the it's ability to grow rapidly, at an approximate growth rate of 15 cm per year (page 1 lines 28-33). The advantage of

Art Unit: 3738

using the specie Acropora grandis is due to the specie *grandis'* ability to grow rapidly, there is a large amount of material to be use as a bone substitute.

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to use the teaching of AMS to modify the apparatus White et al. to be made of Acropora grandis. The motivation for incorporating the feature of AMS into the apparatus of White et al. is due to the specie *grandis*' ability to grow rapidly, there is a large amount of material to be use as a bone substitute.

#### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. Claims 8-10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over White et al. (U.S. Patent 6,376,573) and AIMS (Australian Institute of Marine Science) in view of Laurencin et al. (U.S. Patent 5,766,618).

White et al. and AIMS are explained as before. White et al. and AIMS further lacking the shaped product containing an antibiotic or a growth factor.

Laurencin et al. discloses a hydroxyapatite bone composite having an antibiotic or a growth factor (column 11 lines 40-48). The advantage of having an antibiotic or growth factor incorporated in the hydroxyapatite are the antibiotic would prevent infection and the growth factor would encourage desire cells to grow, which help to promote the healing and integration of the hydroxyapatite material.

Application/Control Number: 09/446,629 Page 4

Art Unit: 3738

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to use the teaching of Laurencin et al. to modify the apparatus White et al. and AIMS to have at least one layer is made of a bioabsorbable polymer, at least one layer is made of inorganic fibers and at least one layer includes at least bioactive additive. The motivations for incorporating the feature of Laurencin et al. into the apparatus of White et al. and AIMS are the antibiotic would prevent infection and the growth factor would encourage desire cells to grow, which help to promote the healing and integration of the hydroxyapatite material.

### Allowable Subject Matter

5. Claims 12 and 13 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

## Response to Arguments

1. Applicant's arguments filed 04/29/2004 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. In regard to Applicant argument that White et al. does not read on claims 4-11, Examiner disagrees. The newly added limitation," shaped into a form to be implanted or inserted into a human or non-human animal body for medical or related purposes and is formed <u>from</u> a coral" does not exclusively limits the product to be made entirely of unaltered coral. Therefore the rejection under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as anticipated by White et al. (U.S. Patent 6,376,573) or, in the alternative, under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as obvious over AIMS (Australian Institute of Marine Science) and the rejection under 35

Application/Control Number: 09/446,629 Page 5

Art Unit: 3738

U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over White et al. (U.S. Patent 6,376,573) and AIMS (Australian Institute of Marine Science) in view of Laurencin et al. (U.S. Patent

5,766,618) are proper.

Conclusion

6. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Hieu Phan whose telephone number is 703-308-8969.

The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday from 8am-5pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Corrine M McDermott can be reached on 703-308-2111. The fax phone

number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703)

872-9306.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or

proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-

0873.

Hieu Phan

Examiner

Art Unit 3738

David J. Sabella Primary Examiner